



MEDICAL ETHICS ADVISOR®

FOR OVER 25 YEARS, YOUR PRACTICAL GUIDE TO ETHICS DECISION MAKING

DECEMBER 2014

Vol. 30, No. 12; p. 133-144

→ INSIDE

Ebola spotlights growing tension between patient autonomy and public health cover

ACA shifts liability to patients: Bioethicists must be "watchdogs" to ensure ethical care . . 135

Providers need reminder: End-of-life decisions aren't only up to patient and family 137

Undocumented patients face unique ethical concerns with end-of-life care 138

Patients need guidance when sharing genetic screening results . . . 140

Research participants' social media use can compromise study's validity. 142

Ebola spotlights growing tension between patient autonomy and public health

Bioethicists "can and should" be part of conversation

Should cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) be given to end-stage Ebola patients, despite the risk to health care providers? What training is necessary at this point to ensure staff and patients are protected?

As hospitals grapple with these and other questions surrounding treatment of Ebola patients, bioethicists need to be involved, urges **Janet L. Dolgin**, PhD, JD, co-director of the Hofstra University Bioethics Center in Hempstead, NY. Dolgin is also director of the Hofstra

University's Gitenstein Institute for Health Law and Policy.

"Without prescriptions and guidelines, we flail around and fail. But once they get cemented in stone, we're in trouble," she says.

One ethical concern with Ebola is to avoid treatment "that's a product of fear rather than well thought-out responses," Dolgin says. "We need policies. But at the same time we need to be ready to challenge them."

Bioethicists are well-suited to help

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Bioethicist involvement is necessary as hospitals develop policies involving treatment of Ebola patients.

- Patient autonomy may have to be limited to protect the public health.
- There is a duty to provide necessary care, and to ensure a patient is not abandoned.
- Hospitals have an obligation to provide proper care for Ebola patients within the limits of their resources.

AHC Media

NOW AVAILABLE ONLINE! VISIT www.ahcmedia.com or **CALL** (800) 688-2421

Financial Disclosure: Arthur R. Derse, MD, JD (Consulting Editor), Shelly Morrow Mark (Executive Editor), Leslie Hamlin (Managing Editor), and Stacey Kusterbeck (Contributing Editor) report no consultant, stockholder, speakers' bureau, research, or other financial relationships with companies having ties to this field of study.

Medical Ethics Advisor®

ISSN 0886-0653, is published monthly by
AHC Media, LLC
One Atlanta Plaza
950 East Paces Ferry Road NE, Suite 2850
Atlanta, GA 30326.

Periodicals Postage Paid at Atlanta, GA 30304 and at
additional mailing offices.
GST Registration Number: R128870672.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to:
Medical Ethics Advisor
P.O. Box 550669
Atlanta, GA 30355.

SUBSCRIBER INFORMATION:

Customer Service: (800) 688-2421.
customerservice@ahcmmedia.com.
www.ahcmmedia.com
Hours of operation: 8:30 a.m.-6 p.m. Monday-Thursday;
8:30 a.m.-4:30 p.m. Friday.

SUBSCRIPTION PRICES:

U.S.A., Print: 1 year (12 issues) with free CE nursing contact
hours, \$519. Add \$19.99 for shipping & handling. Online
only, single user: 1 year with free CE nursing contact hours,
\$469. Outside U.S., add \$30 per year, total prepaid in U.S.
funds.

MULTIPLE COPIES: Discounts are available for group
subscriptions, multiple copies, site-licenses or electronic
distribution. For pricing information, call Tria Kreutzer at
404-262-5482. Missing issues will be fulfilled by customer
service free of charge when contacted within one month
of the missing issue date. Back issues, when available, are
\$83 each. (GST registration number R128870672.)
Missing issues will be fulfilled by customer service free of
charge when contacted within one month of the missing
issue's date.

ACCREDITATION: AHC Media, LLC is accredited by the
Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to
provide continuing medical education for physicians.

AHC Media, LLC designates this enduring material for
a maximum of **18 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™**.
Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the
extent of their participation in the activity.

This activity is intended for acute care physicians, chiefs
of medicine, hospital administrators, nurse managers,
physician assistants, nurse practitioners, social workers,
and chaplains. It is in effect for 36 months from the date
of publication.

Opinions expressed are not necessarily those of this
publication. Mention of products or services does
not constitute endorsement. Clinical, legal, tax, and
other comments are offered for general guidance only;
professional counsel should be sought for specific
situations.

EXECUTIVE EDITOR: Shelly Morrow Mark
(shelly.mark@ahcmmedia.com).

MANAGING EDITOR: Leslie Hamlin
(leslie.hamlin@ahcmmedia.com)

**DIRECTOR OF CONTINUING EDUCATION AND
EDITORIAL:** Lee Landenberger.

PHOTOCOPYING: No part of this newsletter may
be reproduced in any form or incorporated into any
information retrieval system without the written permission
of the copyright owner. For reprint permission, please
contact AHC Media, LLC. Address: P.O. Box 550669,
Atlanta, GA 30355. Telephone: (800) 688-2421. Web: www.
ahcmmedia.com.

Copyright © 2014 by AHC Media, LLC. Medical Ethics
Advisor® is a registered trademark of AHC Media, LLC.
The trademark Medical Ethics Advisor® is used herein
under license. All rights reserved.

EDITORIAL QUESTIONS

Questions or comments?
Call **Leslie Hamlin** at
(404) 262-5416.

craft organizational policies, suggests
Dolgin, in light of their dual focus
on population health and on the
health of individuals.

R. Alta Charo, JD, Warren
P. Knowles professor of law and
bioethics at University of Wisconsin
Law School in Madison, WI, points
to other situations that posed similar
challenges for bioethicists, such as
the early years of the AIDS epidemic
when there were no therapies for the
disease.

“HIV infection was viewed as a
near-term death sentence,” she says.
Many hospitals and medical practices
had to decide whether any or all staff
might refuse to provide care, based
on fear for their own safety.

Many institutions viewed the duty
to care as an institutional duty, and
allowed individual providers to recuse
themselves, provided that someone
was there to care for the patient. “In
other facilities, this was viewed as an
unfair burden on those who would
be left to provide the care, and [those
facilities] insisted that all providers
participate,” says Charo.

Limitation of patient autonomy

The history of the field of
bioethics is very relevant to
understanding the current ethical
concerns involving treatment of
Ebola patients in the United States,
according to Dolgin.

“Bioethics was put together as
a discipline in the 1970s, at a time
when it looked like we could handle
disease, particularly contagious
diseases,” says Dolgin. “We had all
sorts of vaccines, and there really
wasn’t much threat to clinicians.”

Bioethics’ current “enormous
stress” on patient autonomy is really
a product of that era, says Dolgin.

“That now comes up against public
health issues, where today we are
faced with a whole slew of serious
illnesses that are contagious or highly
infectious,” she explains.

This spotlights the public’s
discomfort with the limitation of
patient autonomy to protect the
public health. “If Ebola is controlled
fairly soon, then we won’t face these
issues in the context of Ebola,” says
Dolgin. “But we will face them with
other conditions.”

How to strike a balance between
beneficence to an individual patient
and respect for patient autonomy
“is one of the most compelling
questions in bioethics today,” says
Dolgin. “Similarly, bioethicists
focus on balancing protection of
the public and of clinicians with the
preservation of liberty interests.”

No duty to provide futile care

Some have suggested that CPR
not be given to end-stage Ebola
patients both to protect clinicians
and because it’s essentially futile
care.¹

When developing policies to
address this, Dolgin cautions against
absolutes. “To say you will think very
carefully before you offer ‘everything,’
including CPR, to Ebola patients is
very different from saying you will
‘never’ do so,” she says.

For many hospitals, it is not
possible to ensure proper isolation
and infection-control measures.
“They don’t have the space or
personnel to do this without
endangering other patients,” Charo
explains. There is an obligation,
however, to provide proper care for
Ebola patients within the limits of
the hospital’s resources.

Some procedures pose

significantly higher risk to providers than others, says Charo, but “those same procedures are typical of last-chance measures that, if needed by an Ebola patient, would indicate the disease had progressed to the point that heroic measures would likely be futile.”

There is no duty to provide futile care. “But there is a duty to provide necessary care, and to ensure a patient is not abandoned,” says Charo. “If a facility is unable to provide necessary care, the patient should be treated somewhere that can.”

Improving preparedness: “Ethical imperative”

Hospitals and the U.S. health care system are frequently criticized, “often justifiably so,” says **Jason. L. Schwartz**, PhD, the Harold T. Shapiro Fellow in Bioethics at the Princeton (NJ) University Center for Human Values. “But overall, the response to Ebola has demonstrated the U.S. health care system at its finest.”

However, he says, the experience with Ebola diagnosis and treatment in the United States, to date, has “shone a bright light” on the critical need

to enhance training, education, and preparedness in hospitals nationwide.

“This is not simply an essential component of high-quality medical and public health practice,” says Schwartz. “It is an ethical imperative as part of efforts to protect health care workers and to best serve patients.”

Some uncertainty was inevitable in the response to such an unfamiliar disease threat. “But the experience in Texas underscored the importance of developing clear guidelines to protect health care personnel that are tailored specifically to the U.S. health care environment,” Schwartz says.

This highlights the need to ensure that all health care personnel are adequately trained and prepared to translate those guidelines into practice as part of patient care activities. “The response to Ebola cases in the United States also exposed troubling gaps in coordination among federal health officials, state and local authorities, and hospitals,” adds Schwartz.

A key task for the U.S. medical and public health community moving forward will be understanding and correcting the deficits revealed in the response to the tragic case in Texas and the subsequent infections among nurses caring for that patient. “Bioethicists can and should be part

of such conversations,” says Schwartz.

To achieve ethical care, he says, bioethicists “should aim to strike an appropriate balance among the needs, rights, and concerns of potential future Ebola patients, non-Ebola patients in those same facilities, health care personnel, and the community at large.” ■

REFERENCE

1. Altman LK (2014, October 20). Ethicist calls CPR too risky in Ebola. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <http://www.nytimes.com>.

SOURCES

- **R. Alta Charo**, JD, Warren P. Knowles Professor of Law & Bioethics, University of Wisconsin Law School, Madison, WI. Phone: (608) 262-5015. E-mail: racharo@wisc.edu.
- **Janet L. Dolgin**, PhD, JD, Co-director, Hofstra Bioethics Center; Director, Gitenstein Institute for Health Law and Policy, Hofstra University, Hempstead, NY. Phone: (516) 463-5873. Fax: (516) 463-4800. E-mail: Janet.L.Dolgin@hofstra.edu.
- **Jason. L. Schwartz**, PhD, the Harold T. Shapiro Fellow in Bioethics, University Center for Human Values, Princeton, NJ. Phone: (609) 258-0168. E-mail: jlschwar@Princeton.edu.

ACA shifts liability to patients: Bioethicists must be “watchdogs” to ensure ethical care

Unethical practices are concern

The ethical justification for the Affordable Care Act (ACA) is distributive justice, with the goal of making health insurance available to more Americans, notes **Dennis M. Sullivan**, MD, director of the Center for Bioethics at Cedarville

(OH) University.

“This has clearly succeeded, at least in part, but at the cost of a loss of autonomy. Is it worth it?” he asks. “Now, more than ever, there is a strong need for bioethicists.”

Ethics professionals must be advocates, both for physicians who want to be compassionate clinicians, and “to defend the human dignity of the patients who get lost in the shuffle,” says Sullivan.